

Kareem-Salessi-(“Solving-Apollo-Enigma-1”)-TRANSCRIPT

Neil-Armstrong: "It is up to you, the next generations, to remove truths' protective layers", I just did Neil-Armstrong:

There EXIST literally thousands of ways to prove that NASA-Apollo-Saturns were fake rockets, and that they could NOT have lifted off the ground with their claimed weight of 3,000 tons, a colossal weight which has never been made airborne even to this day, year-2019. I have published my observations of several related experiments, using multiple methods proving this fact; links to those experiments will be posted below this video. However, due to public interest to know what Apollos actually were, this short video is dedicated to show what I believe Apollos were.

I believe that Apollo-Saturns, and all huge-rockets, such as Space-Shuttles, SpaceX, Russian-Soyuz, have been rigid-vertical-hydrogen-airships (i.e. Hydrogen balloons), launched without crew, which were likely made of thin-leak-proof aluminum sheets, weighing around two tons, with a gross weight of around 10 tons, including 5-7 tons of Liquid-Hydrogen-tanks at the bottom, to keep the airship vertical [as ballast], and to provide it with hydrogen-balloon-lift, in addition to supplying-exhaust-flames, to mimic rocket-thrust. Now, I explain how I believe Apollos, and all such fake-rockets, work:

Liquid-Hydrogen was heated into hot-hydrogen-gas, and pumped up to the top of Apollos through an air-duct, filling its volume from the top-down. Once filled up with [ultra-light] hot H₂-gas, the Hydrogen-Gas reaching the bottom was mixed with outside air, in addition to some fireworks compounds, such as magnesium, then ignited upon exiting the bottom [nozzles] of Apollos, creating its visible flames, clouds and sounds, however, without creating any thrust-force. Thrust-force had to be prevented, due to the tilting, and wobbling effect, of Apollo-balloons. You can prove this wobbling effect with a long, vertically-held, and weighed-down, helium-balloon, which, if you push from below, would wobble and tilt, thus giving away that it is a balloon, just as it would have happened to Apollos and Space-Shuttles, if they were pushed up from below.

Dumping several tankers-full of super-cold-liquid-nitrogen, below and around the launch-pad, instantly cools the launch area and helps the heated hydrogen-balloon to lift, in addition to creating special visual-effects, and creating a smoke-screen with huge clouds, faking rocket exhaust!

Absence of rocket-thrust-force, and lack of acceleration, are both visible in Apollo-launch-videos showing their constant ascent-rates of between 3 meters and 10 meters per second, from the earlier Apollos to the later Apollos, when clearing a 100-meter launch-tower, which a real-rocket clears in less than 2 seconds. All Apollo launches show balloon-ascent-rates, as opposed to rocket-launches in which rockets always clear their first 100

meters in less than 2 seconds, but usually in less than one second, not between 30 seconds, and 10 seconds, as the Apollos had performed, from the earlier to the later Apollos. 3g-acceleration (30 meters/s/s) is the minimum demanded acceleration of a real-rocket to ascend, without tipping and falling, thus demanding a minimum Thrust-Weight-Ratio (TWR) of $3+1=4$, while Apollos' claimed TWR is only 1.25, which is similar to a balloon-TWR, and similar to SpaceX-TWR;

I believe that SpaceX is also a hydrogen-balloon. The landing SpaceX-Boosters, I believe, are remote-controlled-deflating hydrogen-balloon-drones with GPS-locked landing-site-targets.

In later videos, I will try to prove that the mythical-3000-ton-Apollos, could NOT have lifted off the ground at all, NOT even one inch.

I believe that the Fake-Apollo-Space-Program was adopted from the 1860s Sci-Fi novel of the French Novelist Jules Verne, about a manned-space-flight to the moon, using a large cannon, NOT a rocket!

This Apollo-Blueprint was drawn by a professional artist, flown in from Toronto, Canada.

If you have legitimate comments, or questions, you can reach me at www.DisclosureRealty.com

-----END OF TRANSCRIPT

Documentation below ("Solving-Apollo-Enigma-1") video-clip:

For better word-recognition: Set Playback-Speed to 0.75. DOCUMENTATION:

Google: "Federal Embezzlement Laws" & "Embezzlement of public funds" for USA-laws applicable to embezzlement of public funds, such as the embezzled [today's worth of] trillions of dollars since the 1950s, embezzled under the disguises of "APOLLO-SPACE-PROGRAM", and other "NASA-SPACE-PROGRAMS", all of which I herewith prove to have been fake-space-programs, and to have been purely public-embezzlement-programs (similar to the majority of USA-military-spending). Perpetual cover-ups of NASA's fake space-programs, have also resulted in further perpetual public-embezzlement, in conjunction with media-propaganda, to facilitate their coverups, and in perpetual violations of USA-Federal-WIRE-FRAUD-Laws:

<https://www.justice.gov/jm/criminal-r...> : Contents of these short clips, supported with the documentations below them, provide overwhelming proof that NASA-Apollos were in fact unmanned-hot-hydrogen-balloons, as described above, weighing no more than 40 tons gross, based on my findings. For my personal supporting experiments, follow each of the below links:

Apollo would have needed over one-million times of its Thrust-Force to ascend 73 miles:

<Https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UEBQ4js7WWk&lc=UgyWNZ8lubNmpeGWbHR4AaABAq> ("APOLLO-KILLER-EXPERIMENT-0")

Https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Vi6fjs_8Yx8&lc=Ugx39brZ54UvXsOaNp14AaABAq ("APOLLO-KILLER-EXPERIMENT-1"):

<Https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SBB-ICAFshA&lc=UgyJjV9gL5FbTUnaA5J4AaABAq>

("APOLLO-KILLER-EXPERIMENT-2"):

[Https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0Yp0rNR9pbk&lc=UgzPo_bx2jNdRExL40V4AaABA](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0Yp0rNR9pbk&lc=UgzPo_bx2jNdRExL40V4AaABA)

("Apollo-Killer-Experiment-3")==(("Apollo-versus-Elevator")):

[Https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0Yp0rNR9pbk&lc=Ugw9AjsXuQL9ZowVf_94AaABA](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0Yp0rNR9pbk&lc=Ugw9AjsXuQL9ZowVf_94AaABA)

The only potential method of slowly lifting a 3,000 ton Apollo off the ground, with its claimed thrust force of 3,700, is with a crane-pulley similar to what is shown here:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BrLbt...>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SoLNgmrwxIM>

"Declassified-Operation-Paper-Moon": <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zMzed ...>

(Coming Clean, the untold story of Operation Paper Moon")

Declassified Project-Man-High <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AyG7I...> at [45:30](#) shows a 750 kg payload lifted with a helium-balloon faster than any Apollo/Space-Shuttle/ SpaceX, etc.!!

[Https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3UEYx...](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3UEYx...) Early manned-land-rockets proved humans could

NOT survive extreme accelerations of real-rockets!!! ("MANNED ROCKET SLED RUN") For additional documentation, see also: ("Solving-Apollo-Enigma-2") and its posted description-links; ("Solving-Spacex-Enigma") <https://kareemsalessi.files.wordpress...>;

("Solving 9/11 Enigma") <https://kareemsalessi.com/solving-911...> ; "Jules-Verne-Original-Apollo-Script"- (1860): ("From the Earth to the Moon and Round the Moon")
<http://www.sandroid.org/GutenMark/was...>

("Solving-Apollo-Enigma")==a-("Salessi-WMD")==(("Salessi-Weapons-of-Mass-Disclosure")

("Solving-Apollo-Enigma")("Dedicated-to-Apollo-50th-Anniversary")

MORE DISCLOSURES TO FOLLOW:

DESCRIPTIONS UNDER:

("Solving-Apollo-Enigma 2")==(("PROOF-APOLLOS-WERE-FAKE")

DOCUMENTATION: SET video to minimum-speed for best results: ("Solving-Apollo-Enigma-2")==(("ABSOLUTE PROOF OF APOLLO-FAKES")): [1:26](#) in a-half-second the weight of this 2.5 kg mass (ground-weight) increased to 10 kg (i.e.:4-fold); [2:29](#) the weight of this 3 kg mass increased to 15 kg (i.e.: it increased 5-fold), thus a falsely-claimed 3,000-ton-Apollo-mass (ground-weight) must instantly increase in weight to well over 15,000 tons (5-fold), the instant it takes off its launch-pad, and thus its falsely-claimed-thrust-force of 3,700 tons would NOT have been sufficient to detach it from its launch pad, even ONE INCH !!! While Apollo-Thrust-Weight-Ratio (TWR)==1.25, the minimum TWR==6, is charted for any type of rocket to safely detach from launch-pad! <https://c2.staticflickr.com/2/1543/24...> However, this required minimum-TWR increases, proportional to rocket-mass-increase! Thus, for a 100-ton rocket, the safe-minimum-TWR is likely well over 100. For a 3,000 ton Apollo, one million times of its claimed thrust force would have been needed to reach a 73 mile altitude, as proved in this

article:

[Https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UEBQ4js7WWk&lc=UgyWNZ8lubNmpeGWbHR4AaABAq](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UEBQ4js7WWk&lc=UgyWNZ8lubNmpeGWbHR4AaABAq)

See also: ("Solving-Spacex-Enigma") <https://kareemsalessi.files.wordpress...> _____

Youtube: ("APOLLO 8 launch:Relive! this fantastic launch on ABC,")

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Vn00B...> AT: [8:35](#) this video shows Apollo-8 took well

over 10 seconds to clear its 80-90 meter launch-tower, as opposed to fake-Apollo-8-

Acceleration-Charts in: Google: ("File:Apollo 8 acceleration.png")

<https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Fi...> , which you can use, in the below calculator, to extrapolate that Apollo-8 should have ascended 625 meters in 10 seconds, by typing these numbers (0, 12.5 , 10) into: <https://www.calculatorsoup.com/calcul...> HOWEVER, based on the True-Rocket-Acceleration-Formula presented in this video

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YfkuA...> True-Apollo-Acceleration-Equals==

$==((34,500\text{KN}-(3000\times 9.81))/3000==(+1.7 \text{ m/s}^2)$, as opposed to 12.5 in FAKE-CHART!!

However, no matter how small, real rockets require at least a 3g acceleration ($==30 \text{ m/s}^2$) to launch, because they must clear their first 100 meters in less than 2 seconds, or they will tilt & fall. If Apollo is launched from the TOP of its launch tower, deploying its maximum-thrust-force of 34,500 Kilo-Newton, with its worthless 1.7 m/s^2 , Apollo would FREE-FALL to the ground and explode, in less than 5 seconds: In above calculator type in (0; -8.1 ; 5). The only way to pull Apollo (or another object) vertically up with an acceleration of 1.7 m/s^2 , would be with a crane-pulley, as experimented with in ("APOLLO-KILLER-EXPERIMENT-0") linked below, and also by typing in the above calculator (0; 1.7; 10):

[Https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Vi6fjs_8Yx8&lc=Ugx39brZ54UvXsOaNp14AaABAq](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Vi6fjs_8Yx8&lc=Ugx39brZ54UvXsOaNp14AaABAq)

Above principles, as applied to Fake-Space-Shuttles:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uuYoY...> Even though Shuttles also take over 10 seconds to ascend 100 meters, similar to Apollos, this fake-space-site has falsified 5.25 m/s^2 as Shuttle's acceleration <https://www.sciencelearn.org.nz/resou...> while contradicting NASA's own false claim of 3g maximum for its Shuttles, even though delivering only a worthless 1.17 m/s^2 (1/8th of g). https://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/st... Now, if you plug into the above calculator, this fake 5.25 m/s^2 , and 10 seconds, you come up with 262 meters altitude, 3-times its true-visible-height in ten-seconds !! ! FAKE-Apollo-Launch-Data:

<https://spacemath.gsfc.nasa.gov/weekl...> Enter any of the time, and altitude, numbers from the above Launch-Data into this ("Speed Distance Time Calculator")

<https://www.calculatorsoup.com/calcul...> To see that none of the, above calculated, final speeds correspond with any of the claimed speeds in the launch-table. For instance: typing the numbers for the 1st, 10th, and 20th, launch-seconds in the calculator, return these [should-be] speed results, respectively: (2; 12.6; 25.5), as opposed to the numbers in the

table: (3; 25; 56). This discrepancy, standing alone, is just one of countless proofs of Apollo-Launch-Data having been faked, concluding that Apollos were themselves fake-rockets! Now, my personal proof: based on my careful analysis, of my above experiments, my hand accelerated for only around a-half-second (0.30 seconds), covering only around 50 centimeters (0.5 meters). Typing those numbers in the above calculator derives a final speed of 1 meter per second, which is far less than all the speed-counts shown in the Apollo-chart. Now, assuming that Apollos have moved only as slowly as my hand (1 meter/second), in the above clip, their launch-weight would have instantly increased from 3,000 to 15,000 tons, thus they would have been unable to detach from launch-pad, with the claimed max-thrust-force of 3,700 tons, because that is a natural impossibility, similar to a the impossibility of a mouse lifting an elephant, placed on its opposite side of a seesaw!

DESCRIPTIONS UNDER:

("APOLLO-SPACE-PROGRAM")-(*"Solving-Apollo-Enigma-2"*)-MUTE

Markers [0:41](#), [1:21](#), [2:03](#), [2:25](#), [3:35](#) to [4:00](#) show that the weight of a mass (such as an Apollo) instantly increases, as it accelerates upwards: 4-fold increase with a 2.5 kilo mass, and 5-fold increase with a 3 kilos mass. Therefore, the 3,000 ton mass of an Apollo would instantly increase to at least a 5-fold-weight of 15,000 tons, requiring over 15,000 tons of thrust force to lift off, and thus its claimed maximum-thrust-force of 3,700 tons would NOT have been able to lift it off the ground at all, even one inch!!! For best view, slow-video to minimum speed. For related documentation:

[Https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IoUDS4c3Cs&lc=Ugxb6rcgAqFWtoaWO9p4AaABAq](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IoUDS4c3Cs&lc=Ugxb6rcgAqFWtoaWO9p4AaABAq)

[Https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0Yp0rNR9pbk&lc=UgzPo_bx2jNdRExL40V4AaABAq](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0Yp0rNR9pbk&lc=UgzPo_bx2jNdRExL40V4AaABAq)

[Https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XtfnI_KOuCM&lc=Ugz_P_sEXb6hB19YV494AaABAq](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XtfnI_KOuCM&lc=Ugz_P_sEXb6hB19YV494AaABAq)

Alternatively, Google: ("Apollo-Killer-Experiment-2") Proof that Apollos were in fact Hydrogen-Balloons: <https://spacemath.gsfc.nasa.gov/weekl...>

<https://www.calculatorsoup.com/calcul...> Open both links, then type in these numbers from the Fake-Apollo-Launch-Data: 0 (initial speed), 2.5 meters/s/s (average-acceleration), and 9 seconds (to clear tower), to see 101 meter ascent, corresponding with the data-table!!! 2.5 m/s/s, is 1/4th of the acceleration of gravity, and is 1/4th of the acceleration of a Hydrogen-Balloon (without weights). You can simulate, and film, 2.5 m/s/s acceleration with your hand, just as I did at [4:05](#) above, covering 2 meters in less than one second. (NOTICE: that clip is slowed down). At [4:05](#) if you increase your video-speed to max, then you almost have the

Apollo-acceleration-rate shown with my hand, which is much less than that of a Helium/Hydrogen-Balloon!!! Now, compare that with this real 60-lb rocket, deploying a Thrust-Force of 750 lb, amounting to a Thrust-Weight-Ratio (TWR)==12.5 (==750/60), as opposed to Apollo's fake TWR==1.25 (==3,700/3,000),

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=51Yke...> This real rocket flew straight up 17,000 ft (5,200 meters) in just 4 seconds, which translates into an average acceleration of 650 meters/s/s, as opposed to Apollo-11 which claimed to cover only 25 meters in its 4 seconds of launch, yet it dared calling itself a "Rocket", while falling behind a hydrogen-balloon of its own volume, weighing around 10 tons (unassisted), but increasing its buoyant-lift-force to a gross-weight of 50 tons, by heating the lifting-hydrogen-gas, while creating freezing temperatures around the launch-pad, by releasing tens of tons of super-cold liquid nitrogen (LN2), as demonstrated in the below links: <https://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/ho...> (POURING LIQUID NITROGEN IN POOL!!) <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kWxAs...> After pouring a small amount of Liquid-Nitrogen into the pool, at 7:30 in above clip, it is freezing at the pool-side. Under NASA-launch-pads there are lakes built just for this reason, namely to dump several hundred tons of LN2 to first cool the water under launch-pad to freezing point, and then to flash-freeze (cool) the entire area above it, to below-freezing temps (-20 to -40 c), which, assisted with the heated H2-Gas inside the Apollo-Balloon, increase the buoyant-lift-force of a Saturn-V-Balloon, from its original 10 tons, to around 50 tons of gross-mass!!!

Additional reference pages: Google: helium balloon lift calculator

<http://web.physics.ucsb.edu/~lectured...> <https://forum.nasaspacesflight.com/ind...> ("NASA-Hydrogen-balloon-to-get-into-space") <https://www.weather.gov/bmx/kidscorne...> <http://biotsavart.tripod.com/balloon.htm> <https://physics.stackexchange.com/que...> ("solving-spacex-enigma") <https://kareemsalessi.files.wordpress...>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=toURS...> (10,000 bhp car, with a regular piston-engine as opposed to Apollo's claimed 13,636 bhp-rocket-engine), proves that an Apollo's engine could NOT even move its mass of 3,000 tons on wheels, much less to lift 3,000 tons off the ground, even one inch, as also described in ("Solving-Apollo-Enigma-1"). The only potential method of slowly lifting a 3,000 ton Apollo off the ground, with its claimed thrust force of 3,700, is with a crane-pulley as shown here: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SoLNgmrxwIM>

Mark Twain: "The right to stupidity is protected by the Constitution"; Neil-Armstrong: "It is up to you, the next generations, to remove truths' protective layers": I just did Neil-Armstrong ("SOLVING-APOLLO-SPACE-PROGRAM-ENIGMA") ("AUTHOR: Kareem-Salessi-April-2019")

Kareem-Salessi-("Solving-Apollo-Enigma")("Dedicated-to- 50th-Apollo-Anniversary") ("Salessi-WMD")("Salessi-Weapons-of-Mass-Disclosure") see also: ("Solving 9/11 Enigma")